

Exploding The Phone

db52

www.explodingthephone.com Bibliographic Cover Sheet

Title PTT Sues Over Story on How to Duck Call Fees

Publication Los Angeles Times

Date 1975-06-10

Author(s) Oliver, Myrna

Abstract Phone company sues radio magazine for publishing article explaining

how to build a blue box.

Keywords Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company (PTT); Amateur Radio 73

(AR73, magazine); blue box; Spenser Whipple, Jr. (author, AR73); Inside Ma Bell (article, AR73); Wayne Green (editor/publisher AR73); Virginia Londner Green (bus. mgr. AR73); New Hampshire Publishing

Co., 73, Inc. (pub. co. AR73)

Source ProQuest

The following pages may contain copyrighted material. We believe that our use of this material for non-commercial educational and research purposes constitutes "fair use" under Section 107 of U.S. Copyright Law. If you wish to use this material for purposes that go beyond "fair use," you must obtain permission from the copyright owner, if any. While it will make us slightly sad to do so, we will nonetheless comply with requests from copyright owners who want their material removed from our web site.

PTT Sues Over Story on How to Duck Call Fees

Los Angeles Times (1886-Current File); Jun 10, 1975; ProQuest Historical Newspapers Los Angeles Times (1881 - 1985)

PTT Sues Over Story on How to Duck Call Fees

BY MYRNA OLIVER Times Staff Writer

Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Co. Monday sued Amateur Radio 73 magazine for more than \$100,000 because it published an article describing how to build a "blue box" that eliminates charges on long-distance telephone calls.

Defendants in the Superior Court civil suit were Spenser Whipple Jr., author of the how-to article, "Inside Ma Bell"; Editor-Publisher Wayne Green; Business Manager Virginia Londner Green, and the New Hamp-

shire Publishing Co.,73, Inc.

The suit seeks an injunction to prevent further dissemination of information on building the devices, \$100,-000 exemplary damages and an unspecified amount of damages for the long-distance charges Pacific Telephone believes the article will prompt it to lose.

The suit by attorney Donald K. King claimed the readers of the magazine are "rather sophisticated" and have "more than an ordinary degree of knowledge and interest in electronics and electronic gadgetry."

King described blue boxes as "devices which are designed to and which can be used to defraud (Pacific Telephone) and other telecommunications public utility companies and enable the user of such devices to successfully make and complete longdistance toll calls without charges."

Distributing the article in California, the suit claimed, was a violation of a state Penal Code section that prohibits disseminating information about building such toll-avoiding devices. Pacific Telephone also claimed the article was a violation of the state Civil Code section prohibiting unfair

competition.

The San Francisco-based utility company refused to estimate how many of the magazine's electronicsoriented readers it fears will build "blue boxes" or how much money it might lose in unpaid long-distance telephone charges. The devices mask the source of the long-distance call, making billing impossible.