

Exploding The Phone

db515

www.explodingthephone.com Bibliographic Cover Sheet

Title The State of New Jersey The John Thomas Draper, transcript of

proceedings

Date 1978-02-14

Abstract Dismissal of Draper New Jersey red box charges due to lack of

criminal intent.

Keywords John Draper

The following pages may contain copyrighted material. We believe that our use of this material for non-commercial educational and research purposes constitutes "fair use" under Section 107 of U.S. Copyright Law. If you wish to use this material for purposes that go beyond "fair use," you must obtain permission from the copyright owner, if any. While it will make us slightly sad to do so, we will nonetheless comply with requests from copyright owners who want their material removed from our web site.

	Municipal Court
1	WEEHAWKEN, NEW JERSEY
2	
3	
4	THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY
5	Plaintiff,
6	vs. TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
7	JOHN THOMAS DRAPER, February 14, 1978
8	Defendant
9	Defendant
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	BEFORE:
15	THOMAS M. VENINO, Judge of the Municipal Court
16	
17	APPEARANCES:
18	LAWRENCE H. POSNER, ESQ., For the State
19	HENRY FIRST, ESQ., For Defendant Draper
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Tope 190 SOUTH HARRISON STREET EAST ORANGE, N. J. 07018

1	
2	Will you enter your appearances please, gentlemen?
3	MR. POSNER: Excuse me, your Honor. May we have
4	just a minute to set up for these? Thank you. It will
5	just take one minute.
6	(Pause)
7	THE COURT: May I have your appearances please?
8	MR. FIRST: For the record, your Honor, Henry First.
9	I'm with the firm of Brown and Vogelman, appearing on
10	behalf of Mr. Alan Silber. He's not a member of the
11	firm. I'm doing this as a favor for Mr. Silber.
12	THE COURT: You're a member of the bar though.
13	MR. FIRST: Yes, sir. New Jersey Bar.
14	THE COURT: And you're for the defendant Mr. Draper?
15	MR. FIRST: Mr. Draper, yes.
16	THE COURT: Mr. Posner, for the record please?
17	MR. POSNER: Assistant County Prosecutor Lawrence
18	H. Posner.
19	THE COURT: Mr. First, your client has rceived a
20	copy of the complaint, I trust?
21	MR. FIRST: Yes, your Honor. I believe the Prose-
22	cutor has a stipulation, if it can be called such, to
23	enter into.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Posner?
25	MR. POSNER: Your Honor, I've reviewed the complaint

THE COURT: State v. John Thomas Draper.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and I've reviewed the accompanying reports, and I've read the statute this evening when I arrived at Court.

I believe that this matter can be settled by a motion to dismiss by the State with a stipulation from the defendant that no countercharge will be brought against the complainant for harassment or malicious prosecution or any similarly named offense.

The statute under which Mr. John Thomas Draper was charged is 2A:111-7, deals with fraud involving a coin receptacle, in this case a coin telephone, that makes it illegal to possess a device or manufacture a device within intent to sell or distribute, knowing this device is capable of and is intended for the purpose of committing a fraud. My understanding of the facts in this case leads me to believe that the State cannot prove that the defendant possessed this device with the intent to use it unlawfully, nor did he manufacture it for distribution to another. It appears that the facts in this case are that Mr. Draper possessed a device capable of being unlawfully used. We have no facts to show that it was unlawfully used or that he was intending to use it unlawfully.

I make these statements for the record, recognizing the possibility that this device is only capable of illegal use and may not have any legal use. However, I

still make the statement for the record and make the motion to dismiss these charges.

THE COURT: You feel that an essential element of the State's case is not provable at this time. Is that correct.

MR. POSNER: If your Honor would pass the statute book to me I'll read the relevant portion of the statute into the record.

THE COURT: Counsel has it, I think.

MR. POSNER: Thank you.

Your Honor, the statute reads, "Any person who with intent to cheat or defraud the owner, lessee, licensee, or other person entitled to the contents of any automatic vending machine, slot machine, coin box telephone or other receptacle, depository or contrivance designed to receive lawful coin of the United States of America in connection with the sale, use or enjoyment of property or service or," and this is the relevant portion of the statute, "who knowing that the same is intended for unlawful use, manufactures for sale or sells or gives away any slug, device or substance intended or calculated to be placed or deposited in such automatic vending machine, slot machine, coin box telephone or other receptacle, depository or contrivance, is guilty of a misdemeanor.

PENGAD CO., BAYONNE, N.J. 07002 - FORM 2046

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't believe that the State can prove that there was a manufacture for sale, that there was a sale or that there was an unlawful use. We have here a gentleman who is capable of constructing an electronic device which might be unlawfully used, but that is not the charge in this case.

THE COURT: Mr. Posner, I understand your motion to dismiss then is bottomed on a stipulation from defense counsel. Is that Right, Mr. First?

MR. FIRST: We have discussed something about that, your Honor, and I think that whether this device is capable of being unlawfully used isn't the issue. The issue is whether there is probable cause. I don't think there's probable cause and I of course join in the Prosecutor's motion to dismiss.

THE COURT: Well do I understand there's also a stipulation from the defense with respect to any future action in this case?

MR. FIRST: I don't know how that's relevant but if the Court would want me to inquire --

THE COURT: Well I think if we're putting it on the record --

MR. FIRST: The Prosecutor has mentioned something about that to me but I don't think it's relevant to the motion to dismiss.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 MR. POSNER: Well, your Honor, as I understand it, 2 there is a strategic distinction between the State making a motion of this type to dismiss charges and a Court 3 4 finding upon a record that there is no probable cause 5 established. I do not want the complainant in this case 6 to be faced with a charge of harassment or malicious 7 prosecution. I believe that the complainant acted 8 reasonably and in good faith and that's all I'm asking the defendant to concede, that the officer not being a 9 skilled legal scholar did what he believed was proper 10 and best at the time. Howevere, further analysis by 11 the County Prosecutor's office leads us to believe that 12 in fairness and consistent with our oath to see that 13 justice is done we must make this motion. 14 MR. FIRST: May I have a moment to discuss this 15 with my client? 16

THE COURT: Certainly.

(Pause)

MR. FIRST: Your Honor, my client Mr. Draper agrees not to press any action against the officer who arrested him and that all charges are dropped and the matter is dismissed.

THE COURT: Allright.

And you have no objection of course to the motion of the prosecution.

MR. FIRST: No, sir.

MR. POSNER: Your Honor, I would also point out that if there is the unlawful use of telephone equipment, it is my understanding that such equipment falls under the Federal Communications Act and as such it would be more appropriate for investigation and prosecution by the U.S. Attorney's office.

THE COURT: In violation of a United States Code if anything? Is that what you're talking

MR. POSNER: If the U.S. Attorney feels that this case does not warrant Federal prosecution, I don't believe it's substantial for State prosecution.

THE COURT: All right. Based on the statements made by Mr. Posner for the record and being concerned that justice is properly done, I will grant the motion to dismiss. I think the Prosecutor is to be commended for his forthrightness in making the motion after a proper investigation of the facts in this matter, and I thank both you and Mr. First.

So the matter will be dismissed.

MR. FIRST: And may Mr. Draper be discharged from bail and return of property --

THE COURT: Of Course. He'll be discharged from bail immediately

MR. FIRST: His property --

His property will be returned in due THE COURT: course. MR. FIRST: Thank you ver much. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. First.

CERTIFICATION

I, Albert Adler, assigned transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing Transcript of Proceedings in the matter of State of New Jersey v. John Thomas Draper, heard in the Weehawken, New Jersey Municipal Court on February 14, 1978 and recorded on Tape No. All9, Index Nos. 030 to 080, is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and ability. Dated: February 28, 1978